UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/528,948	04/21/2006	Carl Simon Shelley	M0765.70064US01	6199
23628 7590 03/16/2009 WOLF GREENFIELD & SACKS, P.C.			EXAMINER	
600 ATLANTIC	CAVENUE	-	CHEN, SHIN LIN	
BOSTON, MA 02210-2206			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1632	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			03/16/2009	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summers	10/528,948	SHELLEY ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Shin-Lin Chen	1632			
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 1 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).					
Status					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on					
<i>,</i>	, <del></del>				
•	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.				
closed in accordance with the practice under £	x parte Quayle, 1933 C.D. 11, 43	3 O.G. 213.			
Disposition of Claims					
4) Claim(s) <u>1-3,8,15-17,20,40-43,62,67,72,82,83</u>	and 97-99 is/are pending in the a	pplication.			
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
6) Claim(s) is/are rejected.					
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	restriction and/or election requir	oment			
8)⊠ Claim(s) <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> are subject to	restriction and/or election requir	ешеш.			
Application Papers					
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.					
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).					
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.					
TT) The Oatif of declaration is objected to by the Ex-	anniner. Note the attached Office	ACTION OF IOTHER TO-132.			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).</li> <li>a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>					
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)  Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4)  Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5)  Notice of Informal P 6)  Other:	te			

Continuation of Disposition of Claims: Claims subject to restriction and/or election requirement are 1-3,8,15-17,20,40-43,62,67,72,82,83 and 97-99.

Application/Control Number: 10/528,948 Page 2

Art Unit: 1632

1. Restriction is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 and 372.

This application contains the following inventions or groups of inventions which are not so linked as to form a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1.

In accordance with 37 CFR 1.499, applicant is required, in reply to this action, to elect a single invention to which the claims must be restricted.

Group I, claim(s) 1-3 and 8, drawn to a method for characterizing an ovarian cell by using a CD43 binding molecule.

Group II, claim(s) 15-17, 20 and 40-43, drawn to a method of treating a subject having a tumor by administering to the subject one or more CD43 inhibitor.

Group III, claim(s) 62 and 67, drawn to a method for assessing the regression or progression of an ovarian tumor in a subject by using a CD43 binding molecule.

Group IV, claim(s) 72, drawn to a kit for diagnosing an ovarian tumor comprising one or more CD43 binding molecules.

Group V, claim(s) 82, 83 and 97, drawn to a method of reducing the number of white blood cells in a subject comprising administering to a subject a CD43 inhibitor.

Group VI, claim(s) 98 and 99, drawn to a method of inhibiting a CD promoter comprising contacting the CD promoter with a CD43 inhibitor.

2. The inventions listed as Groups I-VI do not relate to a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1 because, under PCT Rule 13.2, they lack the same or corresponding special technical features for the following reasons: The putative special technical feature common to groups I-VI is a CD43 binding molecule or a CD 43 inhibitor. McEvoy et al., 1997 (J. Exp. Med., Vol. 185, No. 8, p. 1493-1498) discloses preparation of monoclonal antibody L11 which binds to CD43 antigen (e.g. p. 1494, left column under "Antibodies"). The binding of L11 is similar to anti-CD43 mAb S7 (e.g. p. 1495-1496, under "L11 Antigen is CD43"). "mAb L11 blocks T cell binding to lymph node and Peyer's patch HEV and inhibits T cell extravasation from the blood into organized secondary lymphoid tissues" (e.g. abstract). The anti-CD43 mAb is a CD43 binding molecule and also in a CD43 inhibitor. Therefore, no special technical feature has been contributed by the instant invention over the prior art. Further, a method for characterizing an ovarian cell, a method of treating a subject having a tumor, a method for assessing the regression or progression of an ovarian tumor, a method of reducing the number of white blood cells in a subject, and a method of inhibiting a CD promoter have diverse mode of actions and require different method steps, schedules used and criteria of success. Thus, groups I-VI do not relate to a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1.

Art Unit: 1632

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include (i) an election of a species or invention to be examined even though the requirement may be traversed (37 CFR 1.143) and (ii) identification of the claims encompassing the elected invention.

The election of an invention or species may be made with or without traverse. To preserve a right to petition, the election must be made with traverse. If the reply does not distinctly and specifically point out supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election shall be treated as an election without traverse.

- 3. Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).
- 4. The examiner has required restriction between product and process claims. Where applicant elects claims directed to the product, and the product claims are subsequently found allowable, withdrawn process claims that depend from or otherwise require all the limitations of the allowable product claim will be considered for rejoinder. <u>All</u> claims directed to a nonelected process invention must require all the limitations of an allowable product claim for that process invention to be rejoined.

In the event of rejoinder, the requirement for restriction between the product claims and the rejoined process claims will be withdrawn, and the rejoined process claims will be fully examined for patentability in accordance with 37 CFR 1.104. Thus, to be allowable, the rejoined claims must meet all criteria for patentability including the requirements of 35 U.S.C. 101, 102,

Art Unit: 1632

103 and 112. Until all claims to the elected product are found allowable, an otherwise proper restriction requirement between product claims and process claims may be maintained. Withdrawn process claims that are not commensurate in scope with an allowable product claim will not be rejoined. See MPEP § 821.04(b). Additionally, in order to retain the right to rejoinder in accordance with the above policy, applicant is advised that the process claims should be amended during prosecution to require the limitations of the product claims. **Failure to do so may result in a loss of the right to rejoinder**. Further, note that the prohibition against double patenting rejections of 35 U.S.C. 121 does not apply where the restriction requirement is withdrawn by the examiner before the patent issues. See MPEP § 804.01.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Shin-Lin Chen whose telephone number is (571) 272-0726. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday to Friday from 9:30 am to 6 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Peter Paras can be reached on (571) 272-4517. The fax phone number for this group is (571) 273-8300.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to (571) 272-0547.

Patent applicants with problems or questions regarding electronic images that can be viewed in the Patent Application Information Retrieval system (PAIR) can now contact the USPTO's Patent Electronic Business Center (Patent EBC) for assistance. Representatives are available to answer your questions daily from 6 am to midnight (EST). The toll free number is (866) 217-9197. When calling please have your application serial or patent number, the type of document you are having an image problem with, the number of pages and the specific nature of the problem. The Patent Electronic Business Center will notify applicants of the resolution of the problem within 5-7 business days. Applicants can also check PAIR to confirm that the

Application/Control Number: 10/528,948

Art Unit: 1632

problem has been corrected. The USPTO's Patent Electronic Business Center is a complete service center supporting all patent business on the Internet. The USPTO's PAIR system provides Internet-based access to patent application status and history information. It also enables applicants to view the scanned images of their own application file folder(s) as well as general patent information available to the public.

Page 5

For all other customer support, please call the USPTO Call Center (UCC) at 800-786-9199.

Shin-Lin Chen, Ph.D. /Shin-Lin Chen/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1632